Approved For Release 2001/11/16 : CIA-RDP68-00140R000200480010-7

OEL Notice No. 51

**CGC 9-1762(a)

14 December 1959

MEMORANDUM FCR: Chief, Finance Division

SUBJECT:

Travel

25X1A9a

as to whether or not per diem may be paid Subject in connection with TDY performed by him at Headquarters following his home leave. We have reviewed assential facts to be these:

25X1A9a

(a) M. had travel orders issued 28 January 1959 transferring his POS from to Weshington, D. C.

25X1A6a

(b) A subsequent cable encoded these orders to allow a short TDY at Readquarters for consultation before home leave.

25X1A9a

(c) As a result of these consultations, it was determined that would be assigned forthwith after a brief

25X1A6a

25X1A6a

25X1A6a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

(d) While Mr. wes on his bons leave, appropriate travel orders reflecting the charge of assignment were cut.

The narrow question with which we are faced is whether the amendment of the travel order from FCS Washington to TDY Washington and thence was legally proper. In our memorardem to you of 12 November 1959 on the case of was stated: "Travel already ordered but not yet performed may be sifected by changed or unanticipated conditions, and usually there would be no objection, under such circumstances, to payment of appropriate travel benefits based on the prospective modification of the original orders." Since, in the instart case, the traveler had not yet reported to his originally designated FCS post to take up his duties, the above rule applies. Therefore, Mr. Is to be considered as in a TDY status from the completion of his home leave, and per diem for that period, if otherwise proper, may be paid.

25X1A9a

Office of General Counsel®